# APPLICATION STATEMENT FEEDBACK PROGRAM



## 2022 EDITOR REPORT

This report is intended for potential ASFP editors. It summarizes the outcome of the 2022 program and gives a sense of editors' experience. For more details on what editors do, see here.

The report for applicant feedback from 2022 is here.

# 2022 AT A GLANCE

376	application statements submitted	October 20
305	applications successfully matched with editors*	
200	editors provided feedback	
89	institutions represented among the editors	
2	editors reviewed each statement**	
717	total statement reviews completed	October 28

<sup>\*</sup>Applicants from underrepresented minority backgrounds were prioritized, and then those who had limited access to informed mentors. See our FAQ page for how "underrepresented minority" is defined.

<sup>\*\*</sup> All reviews were solicited in a double-blind format, meaning that neither editors nor applicants had any identifying information about each other.





60% PhD Students
Postdoctoral

**18%** Postdoctoral Researchers

**22%** Faculty

**55%** 

who identify as an underrepresented minority in psychology.

**15%** who were ASFP applicants in

previous years!

**51%** who were first-time editors with ASFP in 2022.

## Here's what they said it was like to work with ASFP.

#### **Overall Experience**

Overall, most editors felt that their experience *matched their expectations*. Many editors said that providing feedback was **easier and more enjoyable than expected**.

Virtually all editors felt the *process* (99%) and *goals* (100%) of ASFP were clear.



**90%** of respondents found ASFP to be personally valuable.



**96.8%** would volunteer again.

**97.8%** of editors would recommend ASFP to a colleague!

#### **New Editor Training**

All new editors were required to complete a 1-hour virtual editor training (1.5 hour for clinical editors) prior to the edit-a-thon.





**81.3%** of new editors felt that the amount of training was *just right*.



**91.7%** of new editors felt that the training provided a good balance of structure, flexibility and consistency.

#### **Refresh Editor Training**

Returning editors were required to complete a shortened, refresh training video (as an alternative option to the 1-hour new editor training).



**72.7%** of returning editors felt that the amount of refresh training was *just right*.

**91.5%** of ALL editors (returning and new) felt confident about providing useful feedback to applicants after training.

#### **Editing Workshop**

We offered a 1-hour optional editing workshop with hands-on experience editing real application statements using the ASFP rubrics.



**92.8%** of respondents found the workshop helpful for understanding how to use the ASFP rubric.

...and that the workshop helpful overall.

Many editors appreciated the opportunity to walk through examples of real application statements and talk through feedback with other editors.

#### **Editing Experience**

ASFP provides several resources for editors to use during the edit-a-thon, including the training slides and videos, rubrics, response templates, Zoom office hours, and co-working sessions.





95% of respondents reported using at least one of the editor resources during the edit-a-thon.



97.9% of editors said the ASFP rubrics were helpful for providing feedback to applicants.

Nearly every (98.9%) respondent felt supported by ASFP.

We recommend that editors spend 30 minutes per statement and provide training and resources to help editors achieve this goal.



**72.3%** of respondents felt that this was a reasonable amount of time for providing high-level feedback.

Editors *enjoyed* the editing experience with the *average rating of* 



We also asked respondents to tell us in their own words about their experiences editing with ASFP. Here are a few of their responses:



"ASFP is a fantastic way to support prospective PhD applicants through the difficult-to-navigate personal statement. You are able to *contribute to efforts to demystify the application process* for prospective students who are "not-in-the-know", while also *strengthening your own skills with providing constructive and supportive feedback*. Plus you get to read highly inspiring personal statements that make you feel good about the future of the field! Highly recommend!"

"Edit with ASFP! You have a tangible, immediate impact on real applicants.

ASFP helps you make a bigger difference than you could otherwise. You're supported throughout the process, making it easy, fun, and rewarding.

Remember your values - edit with ASFP!"

"[ASFP] equips their editors for success in clearly communicating expectations and providing resources and support each step of the way.

And the opportunity to participate in supporting underrepresented scholars applying to graduate programs is incredibly rewarding!"

"JUST DO IT!!!!!!"

Ready to make psychology better?

Sign up to be an editor!

https://www.asfp.io/